

Feed Back on Curriculum

Name of the Stakeholder: R. Subbarao
Occupation of Stakeholder: student

"Kge: 21

Address of Stakeholder: C.S puram
C.S puram
Madurai

Questionnaire

S.L No	Rate the followings Instructed	Excellent	V good	Good	Averag e	Poor
1	The Course objects are clear and specific	✓				
2	The course workload is manageable throughout the course			✓		
3	Extent to which the syllabus demands extra reading by the student			✓		
4	The course is well structured to achieve the learning outcomes(there was a good balance of lectures, tutorials, practical etc.)	✓				
5	The learning and teaching methods encouraged participation	✓				
6	Recommended reference books are relevant and appropriate	To		✓		
7	Flexibility of syllabus in core courses			✓		
8	Availability if syllabus-based		✓			

	e-resources					
9	Proportionality of the syllabus to number of hours available			✓		
10	Application level of the subjects			✓		
11	Extent of support for Higher Education			✓		
12	Inclusion of latest developments in the subject				✓	
13	Topics to be added to present syllabus					
14	Topics to be deleted					
15	Any other suggestions					

Date: 05-01-21

Signature R. Subbarao

Feed Back on Curriculum

Name of the Stakeholder E. Samyela ^{Age 20}

Occupation of Stakeholder Student

Address of Stakeholder megallure

vellipudi
(m)

Questionnaire

S.L. No	Rate the followings instructed	Excellent	V good	Good	Average	Poor
1	The Course objects are clear and specific	✓				
2	The course workload is manageable throughout the course		✓			
3	Extent to which the syllabus demands extra reading by the student			✓		
4	The course is well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (there was a good balance of lectures, tutorials, practical etc.)	✓				
5	The learning and teaching methods encouraged participation	✓		✓		
6	Recommended reference books are relevant and appropriate	To		✓		
7	Flexibility of syllabus in core courses			✓		
8	Availability of syllabus-based		✓			

	e-resources					
9	Proportionality of the syllabus to number of hours available			✓		
10	Application level of the subjects			✓		
11	Extent of support for Higher Education		✓			
12	Inclusion of latest developments in the subject		✓			
13	Topics to be added to present syllabus					
14	Topics to be deleted					
15	Any other suggestions					

Date: 07.01-21

Signature B. Samuyelu pai

Feed Back on Curriculum

Name of the Stakeholder: S. suresha

"Age: 26

Occupation of Stakeholder: student

Address of Stakeholder: kanegiri

Questionnaire

(n) kanegiri

S.L No	Rate the followings Instructed	Excellent	V good	Good	Averag	Poor
1	The Course objects are clear and specific	✓				
2	The course workload is manageable throughout the course			✓		
3	Extent to which the syllabus demands extra reading by the student			✓		
4	The course is well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (there was a good balance of lectures, tutorials, practical etc.)	✓				
5	The learning and teaching methods encouraged participation	✓				
6	Recommended reference books are relevant and appropriate	To		✓		
7	Flexibility of syllabus in core courses			✓		
8	Availability if syllabus-based		✓			

	e-resources					
9	Proportionality of the syllabus to number of hours available			✓		
10	Application level of the subjects		✓			
11	Extent of support for Higher Education		✓			
12	Inclusion of latest developments in the subject		✓			
13	Topics to be added to present syllabus					
14	Topics to be deleted					
15	Any other suggestions					

Date: 07-01-21

Signature S. Sarekha

Feed Back on Curriculum

Name of the Stakeholder B. Babu

Age 20

Occupation of Stakeholder Student

Address of Stakeholder Belavareem

Veligandla (M)

Questionnaire

Sl No	Rate the followings instructed	Excellent	V good	Good	Average	Poor
1	The Course objects are clear and specific	✓				
2	The course workload is manageable throughout the course			✓		
3	Extent to which the syllabus demands extra reading by the student			✓		
4	The course is well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (there was a good balance of lectures, tutorials, practical etc.)	✓				
5	The learning and teaching methods encouraged participation	✓				
6	Recommended reference books are relevant and appropriate			✓		
7	Flexibility of syllabus in core courses			✓		
8	Availability of syllabus-based		✓			

	e-resources					
9	Proportionality of the syllabus to number of hours available			✓		
10	Application level of the subjects		✓			
11	Extent of support for Higher Education		✓			
12	Inclusion of latest developments in the subject		✓			
13	Topics to be added to present syllabus					
14	Topics to be deleted					
15	Any other suggestions					

Date 08-01-21

B. Babu
Signature

Feed Back on Curriculum

Name of the Stakeholder: N. Ram Babu Age: 27
 Occupation of Stakeholder: Lecturer in Zoology Address of Stakeholder: Vagupalli, Kanigiri (M)
 Questionnaire 523230

S.L No	Rate the followings Instructed	Excellent	V good	Good	Average	Poor
1	The Course objects are clear and specific	✓				
2	The course workload is manageable throughout the course			✓		
3	Extent to which the syllabus demands extra reading by the student			✓		
4	The course is well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (there was a good balance of lectures, tutorials, practical etc.)	✓				
5	The learning and teaching methods encouraged participation	✓				
6	Recommended reference books are relevant and appropriate	To	✓			
7	Flexibility of syllabus in core courses			✓		
8	Availability if syllabus-based		✓			

	e-resources					
9	Proportionality of the syllabus to number of hours available			✓		
10	Application level of the subjects			✓		
11	Extent of support for Higher Education			✓		
12	Inclusion of latest developments in the subject				✓	
13	Topics to be added to present syllabus					
14	Topics to be deleted					
15	Any other suggestions					

Date 27-12-20


Signature

Feed Back on Curriculum

Name of the Stakeholder: S. Srinivasa Reddy Age: 38

Occupation of Stakeholder: D-L in physics Address of Stakeholder:

Near Krishna Chaitanya JV

Questionnaire


College, Kothapeta, Korangi

S.L No	Rate the followings Instructed	Excellent	V good	Good	Average	Poor
1	The Course objects are clear and specific	✓				
2	The course workload is manageable throughout the course			✓		
3	Extent to which the syllabus demands extra reading by the student			✓		
4	The course is well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (there was a good balance of lectures, tutorials, practical etc.)	✓				
5	The learning and teaching methods encouraged participation	✓				
6	Recommended reference books are relevant and appropriate	To		✓		
7	Flexibility of syllabus in core courses			✓		
8	Availability if syllabus-based		✓			

	e-resources					
9	Proportionality of the syllabus to number of hours available			✓		
10	Application level of the subjects			✓		
11	Extent of support for Higher Education			✓		
12	Inclusion of latest developments in the subject		✓			
13	Topics to be added to present syllabus					
14	Topics to be deleted					
15	Any other suggestions					

Date: 29-12-20

Signature



Feed Back on Curriculum

Name of the Stakeholder: J. MATTHALAH Age: 26

Occupation of Stakeholder: Lec - in commerce Address of Stakeholder:

Questionnaire

Chinthalapalem (V) -
P.C. Pelli (M) P.A. Padu (P)
Pin. 523111

S.L No	Rate the followings Instructed	Excellent	V good	Good	Average	Poor
1	The Course objects are clear and specific	✓				
2	The course workload is manageable throughout the course			✓		
3	Extent to which the syllabus demands extra reading by the student			✓		
4	The course is well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (there was a good balance of lectures, tutorials, practical etc.)	✓				
5	The learning and teaching methods encouraged participation	/				
6	Recommended reference books are relevant and appropriate	To		✓		
7	Flexibility of syllabus in core courses			✓		
8	Availability if syllabus-based		✓			

	e-resources					
9	Proportionality of the syllabus to number of hours available			✓		
10	Application level of the subjects		✓			
11	Extent of support for Higher Education		✓			
12	Inclusion of latest developments in the subject		✓			
13	Topics to be added to present syllabus					
14	Topics to be deleted					
15	Any other suggestions					

Date: 28-12-20

J. Malleon
Signature

Feed Back on Curriculum

Name of the Stakeholder: Sd Shamsheer Age: 28
 Occupation of Stakeholder: maruti Suzuki Address of Stakeholder: icanigini
Seles man Questionnaire

S.L No	Rate the followings Instructed	Excellent	V good	Good	Averag	Poor
1	The Course objects are clear and specific	/				
2	The course workload is manageable throughout the course		/			
3	Extent to which the syllabus demands extra reading by the student			/		
4	The course is well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (there was a good balance of lectures, tutorials, practical etc.)	/				
5	The learning and teaching methods encouraged participation	/				
6	Recommended reference books are relevant and appropriate	To		/		
7	Flexibility of syllabus in core courses		/			
8	Availability if syllabus-based		/			

	e-resources					
9	Proportionality of the syllabus to number of hours available		✓			
10	Application level of the subjects			✓		
11	Extent of support for Higher Education		✓			
12	Inclusion of latest developments in the subject				✓	
13	Topics to be added to present syllabus					
14	Topics to be deleted					
15	Any other suggestions					

Date: 29-12-20

SD. Shamsir
Signature

Feed Back on Curriculum

Name of the Stakeholder: D Babu

Age: 29

Occupation of Stakeholder:

Address of Stakeholder:

Coaching for
Caitable

Questionnaire

Yeruvaniyala V,
Kaniyala

Sl. No	Rate the followings instructed	Excellent	V good	Good	Fair	Poor
1	The Course objects are clear and specific	✓				
2	The course workload is manageable throughout the course			✓		
3	Extent to which the syllabus demands extra reading by the student			✓		
4	The course is well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (there was a good balance of lectures, tutorials, practical etc.)	✓				
5	The learning and teaching methods encouraged participation	✓				
6	Recommended reference books are relevant and appropriate	To		✓		
7	Flexibility of syllabus in core courses			✓		
8	Availability of syllabus-based		✓			

	e-resources					
9	Proportionality of the syllabus to number of hours available			✓		
10	Application level of the subjects		✓			
11	Extent of support for Higher Education		✓			
12	Inclusion of latest developments in the subject		✓			
13	Topics to be added to present syllabus					
14	Topics to be deleted					
15	Any other suggestions					

Date: 30-12-20

O. Babu

Signature

Feed Back on Curriculum

Name of the Stakeholder: Naval Kumar Age: 27
 Occupation of Stakeholder: Social Service Address of Stakeholder: H.m. Padu

Questionnaire

S.L. No	Rate the followings Instructed	Excellent	V good	Good	Averag	Poor
1	The Course objects are clear and specific	✓				
2	The course workload is manageable throughout the course			✓		
3	Extent to which the syllabus demands extra reading by the student			✓		
4	The course is well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (there was a good balance of lectures, tutorials, practical etc.)	✓				
5	The learning and teaching methods encouraged participation	✓				
6	Recommended reference books are relevant and appropriate	To		✓		
7	Flexibility of syllabus in core courses			✓		
8	Availability if syllabus-based		✓			

	e-resources					
9	Proportionality of the syllabus to number of hours available			✓		
10	Application level of the subjects			✓		
11	Extent of support for Higher Education			✓		
12	Inclusion of latest developments in the subject					
13	Topics to be added to present syllabus					
14	Topics to be deleted					
15	Any other suggestions					

Date: 29-12-20

Nava Kumar
Signature

Feed Back on Curriculum

Name of the Stakeholder: Babu

Age: 29

Occupation of Stakeholder:

Address of Stakeholder:

Bilce Service

Challagiri Galem

Questionnaire

S.L No	Rate the followings Instructed	Excellent	V good	Good	Average	Poor
1	The Course objects are clear and specific	✓				
2	The course workload is manageable throughout the course			✓		
3	Extent to which the syllabus demands extra reading by the student			✓		
4	The course is well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (there was a good balance of lectures, tutorials, practical etc.)	✓				
5	The learning and teaching methods encouraged participation	✓		✓		
6	Recommended reference books are relevant and appropriate	To		✓		
7	Flexibility of syllabus in core courses			✓		
8	Availability if syllabus-based		✓			

	e-resources					
9	Proportionality of the syllabus to number of hours available			✓		
10	Application level of the subjects		✓			
11	Extent of support for Higher Education			✓		
12	Inclusion of latest developments in the subject				✓	
13	Topics to be added to present syllabus					
14	Topics to be deleted					
15	Any other suggestions					

Date: 27-12-20

Bakur
Signature

Feed Back on Curriculum

Name of the Stakeholder: K. Lokaiiah Age: 28

Occupation of Stakeholder:

Builder

Address of Stakeholder:

Ajeelwan (v)
icanigini (m)

Questionnaire

S.L No	Rate the followings instructed	Excellent	V good	Good	Average	Poor
1	The Course objects are clear and specific	✓				
2	The course workload is manageable throughout the course		✓			
3	Extent to which the syllabus demands extra reading by the student			✓		
4	The course is well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (there was a good balance of lectures, tutorials, practical etc.)	✓				
5	The learning and teaching methods encouraged participation			✓		
6	Recommended reference books are relevant and appropriate	To		✓		
7	Flexibility of syllabus in core courses			✓		
8	Availability if syllabus-based		✓			

	e-resources					
9	Proportionality of the syllabus to number of hours available			✓		
10	Application level of the subjects			✓		
11	Extent of support for Higher Education			✓		
12	Inclusion of latest developments in the subject					
13	Topics to be added to present syllabus					
14	Topics to be deleted					
15	Any other suggestions					

Date: 23-12-20

K. Iqbal
Signature

Feed Back on Curriculum

Name of the Stakeholder: N. Raju

Age: 28

Occupation of Stakeholder:

Address of Stakeholder:

pastor

Questionnaire

Chinna Poulapadu, (V)
Icanigiri (m)
Prakasam

S.L No	Rate the followings Instructed	Excellent	V good	Good	Average	Poor
1	The Course objects are clear and specific	✓				
2	The course workload is manageable throughout the course			✓		
3	Extent to which the syllabus demands extra reading by the student			✓		
4	The course is well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (there was a good balance of lectures, tutorials, practical etc.)	✓				
5	The learning and teaching methods encouraged participation	✓		✓		
6	Recommended reference books are relevant and appropriate	To		✓		
7	Flexibility of syllabus in core courses			✓		
8	Availability of syllabus-based		✓			

	e-resources					
9	Proportionality of the syllabus to number of hours available		✓			
10	Application level of the subjects			✓		
11	Extent of support for Higher Education		✓			
12	Inclusion of latest developments in the subject			✓		
13	Topics to be added to present syllabus					
14	Topics to be deleted					
15	Any other suggestions					

Date: 30-12-20

N. Raju
Signature